

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP Nov 66	2. LOCATION Dalton, Mass.
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION"
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS None Stated	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION None Stated	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS SEE CASE FILE
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE None Stated	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

Insufficient data

16 Nov 66

TDET/UFO

24 March 1967

Nov. 66

Request for UFO Information

Dalton, Mass.

Mrs. [REDACTED]

Dalton, Massachusetts 01226

Dear Mrs. [REDACTED]

Reference your letter of 15 February 1967 regarding your observation of unidentified flying objects over the Dalton, Massachusetts area. If you wish to complete the inclosed Form 164, our analysts will attempt to determine the stimulus responsible for the sightings.

You do not seem to realize how people could report a star, satellite, balloon, etc. as being a UFO. At first, Mrs. [REDACTED], I wondered the same thing. I would often ask myself how could an individual see a natural or conventional object and claim it to be a UFO. Our UFO investigators throughout the country have had persons from all walks of life point to stars, aircraft, satellites, and other various objects and say, "Look, there is another UFO; now it is moving!" This is a proven fact.

The possibility does exist that extraterrestrial life can be found in other parts of the universe; however there has been no evidence to substantiate this hypothesis. This lack of conclusive evidence prevents us from accepting the hypothesis that extraterrestrial vehicles are visiting our planet. As far as the alleged UFOs being a result of classified military project, I am completely unaware of any such experimental craft operating in your geographical area. You must remember that the Air Force does not test new weapon systems over populated areas. All experimental testing is rigidly controlled and is done in sparsely populated areas.

We do not have explanations for all of the sightings; however, you must remember that we are working with subjective data and this information is impossible to duplicate in the laboratory.

Thank you for your letter.

Sincerely,

RECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Project Blue Book

1 Atch
Form 164 w/ envelope

TDET/UFO official file copy

Major Hector Duntan (C)
Project Blue Book
Wright-Patterson AFB.
Dayton, Ohio.

~~SECRET~~
Gross
01226
Feb 15, 1967

Dear Sir,

(7)

Objects as reported in November of 1966 continue to appear every clear night, and on many cloudy nights below the level of the clouds. We continue to observe both high and low flying objects. Arrival time now 5:40 pm. The sky is not even dusky, it's daylight when the first objects appear. One travels from southwest to northeast and then remains stationary for $\frac{1}{2}$ hr. It then sails off from where it had been for a period and returns in the direction from which it arrived.

Every night!! Another arrives at the same time 5:40 pm and stays all night. Two smaller objects are above it, or one above and one below. More and more townspeople report seeing these objects. If the Air Force has not seen these I would me

highly suggest that a little trip to ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~work~~ ^{work} be considered. My 5 year old can distinguish things from the stars. When you see them low as we have, you know perfectly well what they are not. — Including

1. a lighthouse
2. sparks
3. swamp gas
4. birds (since when do they light up windows as they spit over a roof.)
5. clouds (same reason)
6. fireworks
7. rockets
8. missiles — In the Berkshires — every night
9. balloons — with five red neon type flash lights ??
10. satellites — that hover all night in a spot?
11. aircraft — same reason as above
12. stars + planets that sail down to 500ft or less

Let's not be ridiculous, and since they are not from outer space the must be in

"And since hypothetically they are ours (or Russian), why fool around with those outdated specs at Cape Kennedy - these things have speeds which are incredible, we watched as one flew in from pinpoint star altitude, then across most of the horizon, and then just hovered. It travelled this distance in seconds.

If you actually have not seen these things, then surveillance of this area should be started immediately. The actions of these objects are most persistent and most deliberate. However, I feel that you are well aware of what is going on. Reports have now come in from Westfield + Springfield. The attitude of the Air Force insults the intelligence of the American people. Articles such as these may act as a cover up now, but more and more people are seeing UFO's with their own eyes. Your job will become increasingly more difficult. I no longer believe everything that I read in the paper, I wonder how many other stories are being

I wish to add one more item to the others that we have been watching, that do not exist. My brother-in-law watched a most unusual object. It appeared to be a rod with red lights in a row along its length.

Now I did not see this one myself, but he is not the type of person to go about hallucinating, nor is he prone to "make stories".

pps - Of 1060 sightings have been reported, how many have been seen and not reported. The true number of sightings must be extremely high. I know of at least 15 people right in this immediate area, who have been watching, but not reporting.